

**EXHIBIT 30**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION  
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT  
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Substantively  
Consolidated SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff  
Investment Securities LLC and Bernard L. Madoff,

Plaintiff,

v.

JPMORGAN CHASE CO., JPMORGAN CHASE  
BANK, N.A., J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES LLC, and  
J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES LTD.,

Defendants.

No. 08-01789 (SMB)

SIPA LIQUIDATION

(Substantively Consolidated)

Adv. Pro. No. 10-4932 (SMB)

**ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTION 105(a) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND  
RULES 2002 AND 9019 OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE  
APPROVING SETTLEMENT OF COMMON LAW CLAIMS BY AND BETWEEN THE  
TRUSTEE, THE CLASS REPRESENTATIVES, AND JPMORGAN**

Upon the motion (the “Motion”)<sup>1</sup> of Irving H. Picard (the “Trustee”), as trustee for the substantively consolidated liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) and the estate of Bernard L. Madoff (“Madoff,” and together with BLMIS, the “Debtors”), seeking entry of an order, pursuant to section 105(a) of title 11, United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq.* (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rules 2002(a)(3) and 9019(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), approving a settlement (“Settlement”), the terms and conditions of which are set forth in the Settlement Agreement (the “Agreement”) by and among the Trustee; Paul Shapiro, and Stephen and Leyla Hill (the “Class Representatives”); and JPMorgan Chase & Co., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, and J.P. Morgan Securities Ltd. (collectively, “JPMorgan” or “Defendants”); and it appearing that due and sufficient notice has been given to all parties in interest as required by Rules 2002(a)(3) and 9019(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure; and the Court having considered the Affidavit of Irving H. Picard in support of the Motion; and having considered the Notice of Intention to Opt-Out of the Proposed Settlement Agreement (the “Opt-Out Notice”), ECF No. 40; and the Trustee’s Reply, ECF No. 44; and it further appearing the relief sought in the Motion is appropriate based upon the record of the hearing held before this Court to consider the Motion; and it further appearing that this Court has jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and after due deliberation; and sufficient cause appearing therefor; it is

---

<sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Motion.

~~ORDERED, that the Motion is granted in its entirety; and it is further~~ [SMB: 2/4/14]

ORDERED, that the Agreement between the Trustee, the Class Representatives, and JPMorgan is hereby approved and authorized; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Trustee, the Class Representatives, and JPMorgan shall each comply with and carry out the terms of the Agreement; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 4, 2014

/s/ **Stuart M. Bernstein**

STUART M. BERNSTEIN  
United States Bankruptcy Judge